

# Poornima Journal of Engineering Science & Management

## Paper Review Form

Research Paper Title with Identification Number:

Please provide your judgment / Opinion regarding the research paper under review:-

| Assessment Scale                        | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Technical Contents                      |   |   |   |   |   |
| Research paper's Originality            |   |   |   |   |   |
| Clarity                                 |   |   |   |   |   |
| Importance                              |   |   |   |   |   |
| Presentation Style                      |   |   |   |   |   |
| Communication of Aim, Method and result |   |   |   |   |   |

Is the article justified enough to be published in the Journal? Yes / No

Quality of the Research Paper (Please Tick)

| Unacceptable | Poor | Average | Good | Excellent |
|--------------|------|---------|------|-----------|
|--------------|------|---------|------|-----------|

Final Suggestions:-

Research Paper is ACCEPT / ACCEPTED AFTER MODIFICATION / REJECTED for publication. Provide comments in the space provided below:-

**NOTE:** The most important parts of your review are the written comments that will be transmitted to the author. On the following page, please give a straightforward assessment of the importance of the ideas and/or results presented in this paper. Your written comments should provide a thorough technical appraisal of the reported work. If the manuscript contains information that will be of interest to international readership, please indicate those parts of the manuscript that should be corrected before publication. Reviewers are also encouraged to suggest ways to improve the paper, even if it is acceptable in its original form.

**Reviewer's Comments:**

**Originality: Does the paper contain new & significant information adequate to justify publication?**

**Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field & cite an appropriate range of sources? Is any significant work ignored?**

**Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?**

**4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?**

**Practicality and/or Research implications: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for practice and/or further research? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?**

**Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.**